

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/866,269 | 05/25/2001 | Sasan Cyrusian | 10808/27 | 5524 | |
| 48581 | 7590 06/23/2005 | • | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE | | | NGUYEN, HIEP | | |
| INFINEON PO BOX 103 | 95 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| CHICAGO, IL 60610 | | | 2816 | | |

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/866,269 | CYRUSIAN, SASAN | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Hiep Nguyen | 2816 | |

| 7.40.001 7.101.01. | 03/000,203 | 011(00)/(14, 0/10/11 | • | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Hiep Nguyen | 2816 | , | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>03 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | ALLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| b) Light The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on | • |) and the appropriate exte | ension fee have | | | | |
| been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened standard in the shortened patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal o | of the appeal. | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | be med within the time period set it | Juli 111 37 CFR 41.37(| a). | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re | TE below); educing or simplifying | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | | | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| the non-allowable claim(s). | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2,3,5-14 and 17-19. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after o | entry is below or attac | ched. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | |
| 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 3. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | / γι | UN W | | | | | |
| · | £ | TUANT.LAM PRIMARY EXAMINE | :R | | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the newly added limitation "consisting of"in claims 2, 3, 5 and 7 requies further consideration and/or search.